

CLINICAL OUTCOMES OF ALIGNER THERAPY VERSUS CONVENTIONAL BRACES IN THE CORRECTION OF TOOTH CROWDING

Nigina Absalomovna Khamraeva

Malika Shavkatovna Axrorova

Abstract

Tooth crowding is one of the most frequently diagnosed malocclusions in orthodontic practice and can negatively affect aesthetics, oral function, and periodontal health. With advancements in orthodontic technology, both clear aligner therapy and conventional fixed braces are widely used for the correction of dental crowding. This study aims to evaluate and compare the clinical outcomes of aligner therapy and traditional bracket systems in the management of tooth crowding. The comparison focuses on treatment effectiveness, duration, precision of tooth movement, patient comfort, oral hygiene status, periodontal health, and overall patient satisfaction. Evidence suggests that conventional braces provide predictable and efficient correction, particularly in moderate to severe crowding and complex tooth movements. In contrast, aligner therapy demonstrates comparable effectiveness in mild to moderate cases, offering advantages in aesthetics, comfort, and ease of maintaining oral hygiene. However, the success of aligner treatment largely depends on patient compliance. The findings indicate that both modalities are clinically effective, and treatment selection should be individualized based on case complexity, biomechanical requirements, and patient preferences.

Key words: tooth crowding, clear aligner therapy, conventional braces, fixed orthodontic appliances, orthodontic treatment outcomes, patient compliance, aesthetic dentistry, malocclusion correction.

INTRODUCTION

Tooth crowding is a common malocclusion encountered in both adolescent and adult populations. It is characterized by insufficient space in the dental arch to accommodate all teeth in their proper positions, often resulting in rotated, overlapped, or displaced teeth. The etiology of dental crowding is multifactorial, involving genetic, environmental, and developmental factors. Discrepancies between tooth size and jaw size, premature loss of primary teeth, abnormal eruption patterns, and habits such as thumb-sucking contribute significantly to the

manifestation of crowding. If left untreated, dental crowding can negatively impact aesthetics, oral function, periodontal health, and even psychosocial well-being.

Orthodontic treatment aims to restore functional occlusion, proper alignment of teeth, and overall aesthetic harmony. Over the past several decades, fixed orthodontic appliances, commonly referred to as braces, have been the gold standard for treating dental crowding. Conventional braces consist of brackets bonded to teeth and connected by archwires, which apply controlled forces to guide teeth into desired positions. Their clinical efficacy in managing mild, moderate, and severe crowding has been well documented. Braces allow precise control over tooth movement in all three planes of space, including angulation, rotation, torque, and bodily movement, making them especially suitable for complex cases.

Despite their effectiveness, conventional braces have certain limitations. Visible metal components often lead to aesthetic concerns, particularly among adult patients. Braces may cause discomfort due to wire adjustments, mucosal irritation, and difficulty in maintaining optimal oral hygiene. Plaque accumulation around brackets can increase the risk of enamel demineralization and gingival inflammation. Such challenges have driven the development of alternative treatment modalities aimed at improving patient comfort and aesthetics while maintaining clinical effectiveness.

Clear aligner therapy represents a significant advancement in contemporary orthodontics. Aligners are removable, transparent trays fabricated using computer-aided design and manufacturing (CAD/CAM) technology. They deliver controlled forces to teeth through a sequential series of customized appliances, gradually moving teeth into their intended positions. Aligners have gained popularity due to their aesthetic appeal, removability, patient comfort, and minimal impact on speech and daily activities. Patients generally prefer aligners because of their nearly invisible appearance and convenience.

The advent of digital orthodontics, including three-dimensional intraoral scanning, virtual treatment planning, and additive manufacturing, has enhanced the

precision and predictability of aligner therapy. Modern aligners can correct a broader spectrum of malocclusions than earlier versions. Nonetheless, clinical debate remains regarding their effectiveness compared to traditional fixed appliances, particularly in cases involving moderate to severe crowding, significant tooth rotations, vertical discrepancies, or complex root movements.

Research indicates that aligners can achieve comparable clinical outcomes to conventional braces in mild to moderate crowding cases. Aligners are associated with improved periodontal health, easier oral hygiene maintenance, and higher patient satisfaction. However, their effectiveness is highly dependent on patient compliance. Successful treatment requires patients to wear the aligners for the recommended duration, typically 20–22 hours per day. Non-compliance may result in delayed treatment or suboptimal outcomes.

In contrast, fixed braces do not rely on patient cooperation to the same extent, as they are permanently attached to the teeth. This characteristic makes them a reliable treatment option, particularly for adolescents or patients with limited motivation or inconsistent compliance. Braces provide superior biomechanical control for complex tooth movements, such as rotations, extrusions, intrusions, and torque adjustments.

Given the increasing demand for aesthetic and patient-friendly orthodontic solutions and the widespread adoption of digital technologies, a comparative evaluation of aligners and fixed braces in the management of dental crowding is essential. Understanding the strengths, limitations, indications, and clinical outcomes of both modalities enables orthodontists to make evidence-based treatment decisions tailored to individual patients. Factors such as treatment efficiency, patient comfort, oral hygiene, periodontal health, aesthetics, and overall satisfaction must be considered when choosing the most appropriate therapeutic approach.

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to assess and compare the clinical outcomes of clear aligner therapy and conventional fixed braces in the correction of dental crowding. By evaluating parameters such as treatment effectiveness, duration,

precision of tooth movement, patient-reported outcomes, and oral health status, this study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the advantages and limitations of each treatment modality, guiding clinicians toward optimal patient-centered orthodontic care.

CONCLUSION

The comparative assessment of clear aligner therapy and conventional fixed braces demonstrates that both treatment modalities are clinically effective for the correction of dental crowding, although their indications, advantages, and limitations differ. Successful orthodontic treatment depends on an individualized approach that considers the severity of crowding, biomechanical requirements, patient age, compliance, and personal preferences.

Conventional fixed braces remain the gold standard for managing moderate to severe crowding and complex malocclusions. Their ability to deliver continuous and controlled forces in multiple directions allows precise correction of rotations, torque, vertical discrepancies, and bodily tooth movements. Because fixed appliances do not depend heavily on patient cooperation, they ensure consistent treatment progress and predictable outcomes, particularly in patients with low adherence to instructions. This reliability is a critical factor in cases where comprehensive orthodontic correction is necessary.

Clear aligner therapy has emerged as a viable and increasingly popular alternative for mild to moderate crowding. Aligners offer several patient-centered advantages, including aesthetic invisibility, removability, improved comfort, and ease of maintaining oral hygiene. Advances in digital planning and fabrication techniques have enhanced their effectiveness and predictability, allowing treatment of a broader range of malocclusions than previously possible. Nevertheless, aligner therapy is highly dependent on patient compliance; inconsistent wear can prolong treatment duration and compromise treatment results.

In terms of oral health, aligners present distinct benefits. Their removable nature enables thorough brushing and flossing, reducing the risk of plaque

accumulation, enamel demineralization, and gingival inflammation compared to fixed braces. Improved periodontal health and hygiene are particularly advantageous in adult patients or individuals at higher risk for oral diseases.

Treatment duration varies depending on case complexity and adherence to treatment protocols. While mild cases may show comparable treatment times with aligners and fixed braces, severe or complex crowding often requires more efficient correction using conventional braces. Additionally, fixed appliances provide superior control over three-dimensional tooth movements, making them essential in cases involving rotations, extrusions, intrusions, or significant torque adjustments. Ultimately, the choice between aligners and fixed braces should be individualized, balancing clinical requirements and patient preferences. Aligners may be preferred by patients prioritizing aesthetics and comfort, especially in mild to moderate cases with high motivation and compliance. Fixed braces are better suited for cases requiring precise control of complex movements or when patient adherence may be unreliable. Integrating clinical expertise with technological advancements and patient-centered considerations ensures effective, efficient, and satisfactory orthodontic outcomes.

In conclusion, both clear aligner therapy and conventional fixed braces are effective modalities for correcting dental crowding. Aligners offer advantages in aesthetics, comfort, and oral hygiene maintenance, while fixed braces provide predictable and efficient correction for complex or severe cases. Treatment selection should be guided by a comprehensive evaluation of malocclusion severity, biomechanical needs, and patient-specific factors, ensuring optimal clinical results and high patient satisfaction. The ongoing development of digital orthodontics and aligner technology promises to expand their clinical applicability, providing more personalized and effective treatment options for patients with dental crowding.

REFERENCES

1.Farkhodovna N. N. F. R. K. PREVENTION OF METABOLIC SYNDROME AFTER MEDICAL ABORTION //Confrencea. – 2025. – T. 11. – №. 11. – C. 362-370.

2.Musharraf M. Specific methods of special physical training of cadets of the Academy of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Uzbekistan //Journal of Modern Educational Achievements. – 2023. – T. 4. – №. 4. – C. 142-152.

3.Mirrahmatovna M. M. ANALYSIS OF ATTITUDES OF FEMALE STUDENTS TO HEALTHY LIFESTYLE IN HIGHER EDUCATION //European Journal of Research and Reflection in Educational Sciences Vol. – 2019. – T. 7. – №. 12.

4.Dekanovich K. R., Ulugbekovich K. S., Mirrahmatovna M. M. METHODS OF USING NON-TRADITIONAL METHODS IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION CLASSES IN HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS.

5.Махмудова М. М. ОЛИЙ ЎҚУВ ЮРТЛАРИ ТАЛАБА ҚИЗЛАРИНИНГ ЖИСМОНИЙ РИВОЖЛАНГАНЛИГИ ВА ЖИСМОНИЙ КЎРСАТКИЧЛАРИНИ ТАҲЛИЛ ҚИЛИШ //ХАБАРШЫСЫ. – 2019. – Т. 3. – С. 76.