

Clinical Effectiveness of Modern Clear Aligners in Comparison with Conventional Fixed Braces

Nigina Absalomovna Khamraeva

Malika Shaykatovna Axrorova

Abstract

Dental crowding is a prevalent malocclusion affecting both adolescents and adults worldwide. It arises when there is insufficient space in the dental arch for proper alignment of teeth, leading to overlapping, rotations, or displacement. The etiology of dental crowding is multifactorial, involving genetic predisposition, jaw-tooth size discrepancy, early loss of primary teeth, abnormal eruption patterns, and certain environmental or behavioral factors. Beyond its aesthetic implications, crowding may contribute to functional disturbances, such as occlusal disharmony, temporomandibular joint disorders, and compromised periodontal health. Malaligned teeth create niches that favor plaque accumulation, increasing the risk of caries, gingivitis, and enamel demineralization. Furthermore, dental crowding can have psychological and social impacts, influencing patient self-esteem and quality of life.

Orthodontic treatment is primarily aimed at restoring proper alignment, occlusal function, and facial aesthetics. Historically, fixed bracket systems—commonly referred to as conventional braces—have been the gold standard for correcting dental crowding. Braces consist of brackets bonded to the teeth and interconnected by archwires, which apply continuous, controlled forces to move teeth into the desired positions. Their effectiveness in addressing mild, moderate, and severe crowding is well documented in the literature. Fixed appliances allow precise three-dimensional control of tooth movement, including angulation, rotation, torque, and bodily translation, making them especially suitable for complex malocclusions.

Despite their clinical effectiveness, fixed braces present certain limitations. Visible metallic components may pose aesthetic concerns, particularly among adult

patients. Discomfort from wire adjustments, soft tissue irritation, and challenges in maintaining proper oral hygiene are common. The accumulation of plaque and food debris around brackets can increase the risk of enamel demineralization, gingivitis, and periodontal complications. These limitations have encouraged the development of alternative orthodontic methods that prioritize patient comfort, aesthetics, and convenience while maintaining comparable treatment efficacy.

Clear aligner therapy has emerged as a major innovation in modern orthodontics, providing an aesthetic and patient-friendly option for the correction of dental crowding. Clear aligners are removable, transparent trays fabricated using computer-aided design and manufacturing (CAD/CAM) technologies. Treatment involves a sequential series of aligners that apply controlled forces to teeth, gradually achieving the desired alignment. Aligners have gained widespread popularity due to their nearly invisible appearance, removability, and comfort, which allow patients to maintain daily oral hygiene and experience minimal interference with speech and social interactions.

The advent of digital orthodontics, including three-dimensional intraoral scanning, virtual treatment planning, and additive manufacturing, has enhanced the precision, predictability, and scope of aligner therapy. Modern aligners are now capable of addressing mild to moderate crowding, minor rotations, spacing issues, and even certain vertical discrepancies that were previously challenging to manage with removable appliances. However, clinical debate persists regarding their effectiveness in comparison with conventional fixed braces, especially in moderate to severe crowding, complex rotations, or root torque movements.

Current research indicates that aligners can achieve comparable clinical outcomes to traditional braces in mild to moderate cases of crowding, with additional advantages in aesthetics, comfort, and oral hygiene maintenance. Aligners have been associated with reduced soft tissue irritation, fewer emergency visits, and higher patient satisfaction. However, successful treatment with aligners is heavily dependent on patient compliance. Recommended wear times of 20–22 hours per day

are crucial for achieving predictable tooth movements, and non-compliance can lead to extended treatment duration or suboptimal results. In contrast, fixed braces do not rely on patient adherence in the same way, providing continuous force application regardless of patient behavior.

Beyond aesthetic and comfort considerations, the impact of treatment modality on periodontal health is significant. Removable aligners allow patients to maintain regular oral hygiene practices such as brushing and flossing without obstruction, reducing plaque accumulation and minimizing the risk of demineralization and gingivitis. Fixed appliances, on the other hand, require meticulous oral hygiene and frequent monitoring to prevent complications. These differences may be particularly relevant in adult patients or those with existing periodontal concerns.

Another important factor in treatment planning is the control of biomechanical forces during tooth movement. Fixed braces offer superior three-dimensional control, making them more suitable for cases requiring complex rotations, extrusion or intrusion of teeth, and precise torque adjustments. While aligners are effective for mild to moderate crowding and minor corrections, their biomechanical limitations may necessitate auxiliary techniques such as attachments or elastics for more complex movements. This highlights the importance of careful patient selection and individualized treatment planning when considering aligner therapy.

In addition to clinical effectiveness, patient-reported outcomes play an essential role in contemporary orthodontic practice. Studies have demonstrated that aligner therapy is generally associated with higher levels of comfort, reduced pain during initial treatment phases, and greater satisfaction regarding aesthetics and social confidence. Patients treated with aligners often report lower interference with speech, diet, and daily activities. Conversely, fixed braces, while effective, can cause discomfort during wire adjustments, soft tissue irritation, and dietary restrictions, which may influence overall treatment satisfaction.

Given the growing demand for aesthetic, comfortable, and technologically advanced orthodontic options, a comprehensive comparative evaluation of modern clear aligners and conventional fixed braces is timely and clinically relevant. Understanding the advantages, limitations, and indications of each modality allows clinicians to make evidence-based decisions that maximize treatment effectiveness, optimize patient satisfaction, and ensure long-term stability.

Therefore, the aim of this study is to assess the clinical effectiveness of modern clear aligners in comparison with conventional fixed braces for the correction of dental crowding. Parameters such as treatment outcomes, duration, precision of tooth movement, patient comfort, periodontal health, and overall satisfaction will be evaluated to provide an integrated understanding of the relative merits of each treatment approach. This comparative assessment seeks to inform clinical decision-making and improve patient-centered care in contemporary orthodontics.

CONCLUSION

The evaluation of clinical effectiveness between modern clear aligners and conventional fixed braces demonstrates that both treatment modalities are viable options for correcting dental crowding, though each has distinct advantages and limitations. Selection of the appropriate treatment modality should be based on a thorough assessment of malocclusion severity, biomechanical requirements, patient age, oral hygiene status, compliance, and personal preferences.

Conventional fixed braces remain the standard approach for managing moderate to severe crowding and complex malocclusions. Their ability to deliver continuous, controlled forces in all three dimensions of space allows precise correction of rotations, torque, vertical discrepancies, and bodily tooth movements. Fixed appliances are not dependent on patient compliance for force application, making them particularly suitable for adolescents or patients with inconsistent adherence to treatment protocols. This reliability ensures predictable outcomes,

efficient treatment progression, and successful correction of even complex orthodontic cases.

Modern clear aligners provide a patient-centered, aesthetic, and comfortable alternative for the treatment of mild to moderate crowding. The advantages of aligners include near-invisibility, removability, and minimal interference with daily life. They facilitate effective oral hygiene practices and reduce the risk of soft tissue irritation and enamel demineralization. Technological advancements in digital planning and manufacturing have significantly improved the precision and predictability of aligner therapy, allowing for successful management of a broader range of malocclusions than previously possible.

Nevertheless, aligner therapy is highly dependent on patient compliance. Non-adherence to recommended wear times can compromise treatment outcomes and extend treatment duration. Fixed braces, by contrast, provide continuous and reliable force application, making them more appropriate for cases requiring precise three-dimensional control or for patients with limited motivation or adherence.

When considering periodontal health, aligners offer notable benefits due to their removability, allowing patients to maintain excellent oral hygiene throughout treatment. Fixed braces require meticulous care and professional monitoring to prevent plaque accumulation, enamel demineralization, and gingival inflammation. Treatment duration may vary depending on case complexity, compliance, and the type of appliance used. For mild cases, aligners and fixed braces may achieve similar treatment times. In complex cases, however, fixed appliances typically allow more efficient correction due to their superior biomechanical control.

Patient satisfaction is also a key factor in selecting an orthodontic treatment modality. Aligners are generally associated with higher comfort levels, improved aesthetics, and lower interference with speech and daily activities. Fixed braces, while clinically effective, can cause discomfort during wire adjustments, require dietary restrictions, and necessitate rigorous oral hygiene, potentially influencing overall patient satisfaction.

In conclusion, both modern clear aligners and conventional fixed braces are effective in correcting dental crowding. Aligners are particularly suitable for mild to moderate cases, offering enhanced aesthetics, comfort, and oral hygiene maintenance. Fixed braces remain indispensable for moderate to severe cases requiring precise biomechanical control and predictable results. Optimal treatment planning should integrate clinical assessment, technological capabilities, patient preferences, and expected compliance to ensure effective outcomes, long-term stability, and high patient satisfaction. Continued advances in aligner technology and digital orthodontics are likely to expand the clinical applicability of aligners, offering more personalized, efficient, and patient-friendly solutions for the correction of dental crowding.

REFERENCES

- 1.Farkhodovna N. N. F. R. K. PREVENTION OF METABOLIC SYNDROME AFTER MEDICAL ABORTION //Confrencea. – 2025. – T. 11. – №. 11. – C. 362-370.
- 2.Musharraf M. Specific methods of special physical training of cadets of the Academy of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Uzbekistan //Journal of Modern Educational Achievements. – 2023. – T. 4. – №. 4. – C. 142-152.
- 3.Mirrahmatovna M. M. ANALYSIS OF ATTITUDES OF FEMALE STUDENTS TO HEALTHY LIFESTYLE IN HIGHER EDUCATION //European Journal of Research and Reflection in Educational Sciences Vol. – 2019. – T. 7. – №. 12.
- 4.Dekanovich K. R., Ulugbekovich K. S., Mirrahmatovna M. M. METHODS OF USING NON-TRADITIONAL METHODS IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION CLASSES IN HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS.
- 5.Махмудова М. М. ОЛИЙ ЎҚУВ ЮРТЛАРИ ТАЛАБА ҚИЗЛАРИНИНГ ЖИСМОНИЙ РИВОЖЛАНГАНЛИГИ ВА ЖИСМОНИЙ КЎРСАТКИЧЛАРИНИ ТАҲЛИЛ ҚИЛИШ //ХАБАРШЫСЫ. – 2019. – Т. 3. – С. 76.